2nd National Mental Health Conference

Abstracts Review Guide

THEME: Holistic Approach to Mental Health for Generations

SUB-THEMES

- 1. Foundations of mental health: A focus on the family, children, and youth mental health
- 2. Promoting Workplace Mental Well-being: Creating Supportive Environments Across All Sectors
- 3. From Awareness to Action: Suicide Prevention Across the Lifespan
- 4. Advancing Mental Health through Research, Innovation and Technology
- 5. Strengthening Mental Health Systems through Capacity Building for mental Healthcare workforce
- 6. Community Approaches: Advocacy, Education and Addressing Cultural issues

Review guidelines

Manuscript No: 129

Title: The Impact of Youth First on Teachers: Enhancing Teacher Mental Wellbeing through School-Based Mental Health Programming

Reviewer's Name: Withheld

1. Rate the abstract as per the scale below

5 = Excellent $1 = P_{\text{OOT}}$

		1 -	- PC	101	
	1	2	3	4	5
Does the abstract title align with the conference themes? (Title should be clear what is investigated, who is involved, where, and method e,g <i>Exploring mental health stressors among middle level public healthcare managers in Kenya- a qualitative study</i>).			3		
Is the main question/Aim/Objective addressed by the research clear, interesting, relevant, and original and aligns to the topic and theme?			3		
Is the rationale for the article well grounded (Is it Based on a known theory or intended to fill a well-articulated gap?			3		
 Is the methodology appropriate and applied properly? Is it appropriate based on the objectives/aim/questions of the study? Does methodology align with best practices for replicability and robustness? 		2			

 relevant ethical approval from an accredited body? Are ethical procedures and safeguards clearly outlined in the methodology? For studies involving participants with lived experience of 				
 mental illness, check whether the abstract specifies any reasonable accommodations provided. For desk reviews, systematic reviews, or studies using only secondary data with no direct interaction with human subjects, indicate N/A. 				
Are the results and conclusions based on data analyzed? (results aren't overstated or overgeneralized, or irrelevant; Are policy implications clearly articulated?)		3		
Is the abstract based on rigorous research? (follow academic standards)		3		
Does the abstract clearly illustrate the problem, the gap, how the study			4	
intends to address it		2		
Does the abstract convey the main ideas in a clear and understandable		3		
manner (ig it Well amonimed algorityitt)		2		
manner (is it Well organized, clearly written)		3		
Does the article offer a substantial contribution science? For instance, is				ı
Does the article offer a substantial contribution science? For instance, is it highly significant, contextually novel within the Kenyan setting,				
Does the article offer a substantial contribution science? For instance, is it highly significant, contextually novel within the Kenyan setting, groundbreaking in its findings, or does it lay a strong foundation for				
Does the article offer a substantial contribution science? For instance, is it highly significant, contextually novel within the Kenyan setting, groundbreaking in its findings, or does it lay a strong foundation for future research? Additionally, how does the abstract advance the field				
Does the article offer a substantial contribution science? For instance, is it highly significant, contextually novel within the Kenyan setting, groundbreaking in its findings, or does it lay a strong foundation for		3		

2. What is your recommendation (*tick appropriately*)?

Accept, as is	_
Accept with minor revisions	

Accept with major revisions	X
Clear reject	

3. If you Recommended the article to be accepted (Accept, as is: Accept with minor revisions: Accept with major revisions) which **subtheme** below does the abstract fall into to? (*tick appropriately*)?

Foundations of mental health: A focus on the family, children, and youth mental health	
Promoting Workplace Mental Well-being: Creating Supportive Environments Across All Sectors	
From Awareness to Action: Suicide Prevention Across the Lifespan	
Advancing Mental Health through Research, Innovation and Technology	
Strengthening Mental Health Systems through Capacity Building for mental Healthcare workforce	
Community Approaches: Advocacy, Education and Addressing Cultural issues	X

- 4. If you Recommended the article to be accepted (Accept, as is: Accept with minor revisions: **Accept with major revisions**) what comments do you have for the author to help them improve on their abstract? (*the comments here will be shared with the author*)
 - Looking at the content of the abstract this appears to be a literature review on the program Youth First in Kenya? If that is the case, then it should be clear from the title that this is a review of the literature on this program otherwise, it is misleading as it is.
 - The methods and results sections need to be separated.
 - The methods should clearly indicate that this is a literature review of the program Youth First. It should clearly identify the objectives of the review with clear outcomes from the existing studies; and clearly indicate how the review was conducted and how the results were synthesized among the existing studies. It should also be clear which outcomes were measured. Summarized information of the training/roles of the teachers within the youth first programme would be good.
 - The results should identify and summarize specific results. Other details should include e.g. Which teachers were targeted? E.g. what was their age group, years of teaching, gender wise, from which settings/regions in Kenya? Public or private schools?

-